

## 3.2 Construction of the heat kernels

### 3.2.1 Toy model

In this section, we will construct the heat kernel for generalized Laplacian  $H$  on  $V := \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E)$ .

We study the toy model first: let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space and  $H$  be a linear endomorphism; we construct  $P_t = e^{-tH}$ .

**Definition 3.2.1.** The  $k$ -simplex

$$\Delta_k := \{(t_1, \dots, t_k) : 0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_k \leq 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k. \quad (3.2.1)$$

We often parametrize  $\Delta_k$  by the coordinates

$$\sigma_0 = t_1, \sigma_i = t_{i+1} - t_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \quad \sigma_k = 1 = t_k, \quad (3.2.2)$$

such that  $\sigma_1 + \dots + \sigma_k = 1$  and  $0 \leq \sigma_i \leq 1$ . For  $t > 0$ , the rescaled simplex

$$t\Delta_k := \{(t_1, \dots, t_k) : 0 \leq t_1 \leq \dots \leq t_k \leq t\} \subset \mathbb{R}^k. \quad (3.2.3)$$

Let  $v_k$  be the volume of  $\Delta_k$ . since  $v_1 = 1$  and

$$v_k = \int_0^1 \text{vol}(t_k \Delta_{k-1}) dt_k = \int_0^1 t_k^{k-1} v_{k-1} dt_k = \frac{v_{k-1}}{k}, \quad (3.2.4)$$

we have

$$v_k = \frac{1}{k!}. \quad (3.2.5)$$

Let  $K_t : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  be an approximate solution of the heat equation for small  $t$  in the sense that for some small  $\alpha > 0$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that

$$R_t = \frac{dK_t}{dt} + HK_t \leq Ct^\alpha, \quad (3.2.6)$$

and  $K_0 = 1$ . The function  $K_t$  is also called a parametrix for the heat equation. The function  $R_t$  is called the remainder.

Let

$$Q_t^1 = \int_0^t K_{t-t_1} R_{t_1} dt_1. \quad (3.2.7)$$

Then

$$\frac{dQ_t^1}{dt} = R_t + \int_0^t R_{t-t_1} R_t dt_1 - H Q_t^1. \quad (3.2.8)$$

So from (3.2.6)-(3.2.8),

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} + H\right) (K_t - Q_t^1) = - \int_0^t R_{t-t_1} R_t dt_1 = O(t^{2\alpha+1}). \quad (3.2.9)$$

Following this way, we could make the error term smaller and smaller:

**Theorem 3.2.2.** *Let  $Q_t : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \text{End}(V)$  be defined by*

$$Q_t^k := \int_{t\Delta_k} K_{t-t_k} R_{t_k-t_{k-1}} \cdots R_{t_2-t_1} R_{t_1} dt_1 \cdots dt_k \quad (3.2.10)$$

and  $Q_t^0 = K_t$ . Then

$$P_t = e^{-tH} = \sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} (-1)^k Q_t^k \quad (3.2.11)$$

and

$$P_t = K_t + O(t^{1+\alpha}). \quad (3.2.12)$$

*Proof.* Let

$$R^{(k)}(s) := \int_{s\Delta_{k-1}} R_{s-t_{k-1}} \cdots R_{t_2-t_1} R_{t_1} dt_1 \cdots dt_{k-1}. \quad (3.2.13)$$

Then as in (3.2.8), we have

$$\left(\frac{d}{dt} + H\right) Q_t^k = R^{(k+1)}(t) + R^{(k)}(t). \quad (3.2.14)$$

From (3.2.6) and (3.2.3),

$$R^{(k)}(t) = O(t^{k\alpha}). \quad (3.2.15)$$

Since  $\text{vol}(t\Delta_k) = t^k/k!$ , there exists  $C_0 > 0$  such that

$$|Q_t^k| \leq C_0 C^k t^{k\alpha} \frac{t^k}{k!}. \quad (3.2.16)$$

So the right hand side of (3.2.11) converges. Since  $Q_0^0 = 1$  and  $Q_0^k = 0$  for  $k > 0$ , we obtain (3.2.11). From (3.2.16) again, we have  $P_t = K_t + O(t^{1+\alpha})$ .

The proof of Theorem 3.2.2 is completed.  $\square$

The original version of Theorem 3.2.2 is the Volterra series for the exponential of a perturbed operator. If  $H = H_0 + H_1 \in \text{End}(V)$ , for  $K_t = e^{-tH_0}$ ,

$$R_t = \left( \frac{d}{dt} + H \right) e^{-tH_0} = H_1 e^{-tH_0}. \quad (3.2.17)$$

So from Theorem 3.2.2, we have

$$e^{-t(H_0+H_1)} = e^{-tH_0} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k I_k, \quad (3.2.18)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} I_k &:= \int_{t\Delta_k} e^{-(t-t_k)H_0} H_1 e^{-(t_k-t_{k-1})H_0} \dots H_1 e^{-t_1 H_0} dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ &= \int_{t\Delta_k} e^{-\sigma_0 H_0} H_1 e^{-\sigma_1 H_0} \dots H_1 e^{-\sigma_k H_0} d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_k. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.19)$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-t(H_0+H_1)} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-t)^k e^{-\sigma_0 t H_0} H_1 e^{-\sigma_1 t H_0} \dots H_1 e^{-\sigma_k t H_0} d\sigma_1 \dots d\sigma_k \\ &= e^{-tH_0} - t \int_0^1 e^{(1-\sigma)tH_0} H_1 e^{-\sigma t H_0} d\sigma + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.20)$$

### 3.2.2 Estimates of the parametrix

For  $V = \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E)$ , we study the kernel instead of the operator.

We leave the proof of the following theorem to the next subsection.

**Theorem 3.2.3.** *For every  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ , there exists a smooth one-parameter family of smooth kernels  $k_t^N(x, y)$ , such that for every  $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

(1) *for every  $T > 0$ , there exists  $C > 0$  such that for  $0 < t < T$ ,  $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E)$ , we have*

$$\|K_t^N u\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \leq C \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell}, \quad (3.2.21)$$

where  $K_t^N$  is the operator associated with  $k_t^N(x, y)$ ;

(2) *for  $u \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E)$ ,*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|K_t u - u\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} = 0; \quad (3.2.22)$$

(3) there exists  $C(\ell, s) > 0$  such that the kernel

$$r_t^N(x, y) := (\partial_t + H_x)k_t^N(x, y) \quad (3.2.23)$$

satisfies the estimate

$$\|\partial_t^s r_t^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \leq C(\ell, s)t^{N-n/2-\ell/2-s}. \quad (3.2.24)$$

In order to simplify the notation, we omit the symbols "N" and " $dt_1 \cdots dt_k$ " if there is no confuse.

Let  $K_t$  and  $R_t$  be the corresponding operators with respect to  $k_t$  and  $r_t$ .

As in (3.2.10) and (3.2.13), we consider

$$Q_t^k := \int_{t\Delta_k} K_{t-t_k} R_{t_k-t_{k-1}} \cdots R_{t_2-t_1} R_{t_1}, \quad (3.2.25)$$

which is defined by the kernel

$$q_t^k(x, y) = \int_{t\Delta_k} \int_{M^k} k_{t-t_k}(x, z_k) r_{t_k-t_{k-1}}(z_k, z_{k-1}) \cdots r_{t_1}(z_1, y) \quad (3.2.26)$$

and the kernel

$$r_t^{k+1}(x, y) = \int_{t\Delta_k} \int_{M^k} r_{t-t_k}(x, z_k) r_{t_k-t_{k-1}}(z_k, z_{k-1}) \cdots r_{t_1}(z_1, y). \quad (3.2.27)$$

**Lemma 3.2.4.** *If  $N > (n + \ell)/2$ , then for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t^s r_t^{k+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(M \times M)} \leq C^{k+1} t^{(k+1)(N-n/2)-\ell/2-s} \text{vol}(M)^k \frac{t^k}{k!}. \quad (3.2.28)$$

*Proof.* If  $N > (n + \ell)/2$ , by (3.2.24),  $r_t$  and its derivatives up to order  $\ell$  extend continuously to  $t = 0$ . Using (3.2.24) again, we obtain Lemma 3.2.4.

The proof of Lemma 3.2.4 is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.5.** *Assume that  $N > (n + \ell)/2$  and that  $\ell \geq 1$ .*

(1) *There exists  $\tilde{C} > 0$  such that for every  $k \geq 1$ ,*

$$\|q_t^k\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(M \times M)} \leq \tilde{C} C^k \text{vol}(M)^{k-1} t^{k(N-n/2)-\ell/2} \frac{t^k}{(k-1)!}. \quad (3.2.29)$$

(2) *The kernel  $q_t^k(x, y)$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  on  $t$  and*

$$(\partial_t + H_x)q_t^k(x, y) = r_t^{k+1}(x, y) + r_t^k(x, y). \quad (3.2.30)$$

*Proof.* Let

$$b(t, s, x, y) = \int_{z \in M} k_{t-s}(x, z) r_s^k(z, y) = K_{t-s, x} r_s^k(x, y). \quad (3.2.31)$$

Then from (3.2.26) and (3.2.27),

$$q_t^k(x, y) = \int_0^t b(t, s, x, y) ds. \quad (3.2.32)$$

From Theorem 3.2.3 (1), Lemma 3.2.4 and (3.2.31), for  $0 \leq s \leq t$ ,

$$\|b(t, s)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(M \times M)} \leq C' C^k \text{vol}(M)^{k-1} t^{k(N-n/2)-\ell/2} \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}. \quad (3.2.33)$$

So we obtain (1) from (3.2.32) and (3.2.33).

From (3.2.23) and (3.2.31),  $b(t, s, x, y)$  is continuous on  $s$ ,  $\mathcal{C}^1$  on  $t$  and smooth on  $x$ . From (3.2.24),

$$(\partial_t + H_x)b(t, s, x, y) = \int_{z \in M} r_{t-s}(x, z) r_s^k(z, y) = r^{k+1}(x, y). \quad (3.2.34)$$

Then (3.2.30) follows from (3.2.32) and (3.2.34).

The proof of Lemma 3.2.5 is completed.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.2.6.** *Assume that the kernel  $k_t^N(x, y)$  satisfies the conditions of Theorem 3.2.3 with  $N > n/2 + 1$ .*

(1) *For any  $\ell$  such that  $N > (n + \ell + 1)/2$ ,*

$$p_t(x, y) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k q_t^k(x, y) \quad (3.2.35)$$

*converges in the  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}(M \times M)$ -norm and defines a  $\mathcal{C}^1$ -map from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathcal{C}^\ell(M \times M, E \boxtimes E^*)$  such that*

$$(\partial_t + H_x)p_t(x, y) = 0. \quad (3.2.36)$$

(2) *When  $t \rightarrow 0$ ,*

$$\|\partial_t^s(p_t - k_t^N)\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell(M \times M)} = O(t^{(N-n/2)-s-\ell/2+1}). \quad (3.2.37)$$

(3) *The kernel  $p_t$  is a heat kernel for the operator  $H$ .*

*Proof.* From (3.2.29),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|q_t^k\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}(M \times M)} &\leq \|k_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}(M \times M)} \\ &+ \tilde{C} C t^{N-n/2-\ell/2+1/2} e^{C \operatorname{vol}(M) t^{N-n/2+1}} < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.38)$$

So (3.2.25) converges in  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}(M \times M)$ -norm. From (3.2.28), (3.2.29) and (3.2.30),

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_t q_t^k\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell}(M \times M)} &\leq \|r_t^{k+1}\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell}(M \times M)} + \|r_t^k\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell}(M \times M)} + \|q_t^k\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell+2}(M \times M)} \\ &\leq C^{k+1} t^{(k+1)(N-n/2)-\ell/2} \operatorname{vol}(M)^k \frac{t^k}{k!} + C^k t^{k(N-n/2)-\ell/2} \operatorname{vol}(M)^{k-1} \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \\ &\quad + \tilde{C} C^k \operatorname{vol}(M)^{k-1} t^{k(N-n/2)-\ell/2-1} \frac{t^k}{(k-1)!} \\ &\leq C t^{N-n/2-\ell/2} (C \operatorname{vol}(M) t^{N-n/2+1} / k + 1 + \tilde{C}) \\ &\quad \cdot C^{k-1} t^{(k-1)(N-n/2)} \operatorname{vol}(M)^{k-1} \frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.39)$$

So there exist  $C_0, C_1 > 0$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \|\partial_t q_t^k\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell}(M \times M)} &\leq \|\partial_t k_t\|_{\mathcal{C}^{\ell}(M \times M)} \\ &+ (C_0 t^{N-n/2+1} + C_1) t^{N-n/2-\ell/2} e^{C \operatorname{vol}(M) t^{N-n/2+1}} < +\infty. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.40)$$

Thus  $p_t$  is  $\mathcal{C}^1$  on  $t$  from  $\mathbb{R}_+$  to  $\mathcal{C}^{\ell}(M \times M)$ . As in Theorem 3.2.2, we have (3.2.26).

From the proof of (3.2.38) and (3.2.40), we get (3.2.37).

For (3), we only need to check the initial condition. Since  $k_t^N$  satisfies the initial condition, from (3.2.37), we get (3).

The proof of Theorem 3.2.6 is completed.  $\square$

### 3.2.3 Formal solution

In this subsection, we will prove Theorem 3.2.3. For the complexity of the construction, we will start from some basic results in Riemannian Geometry.

Let  $g^{TM}$  be the metric on  $M$ . Usually we denote it by  $g$  for simplicity. we consider a smooth path  $x_t : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  and define its length as

$$L(x_t) = \int_0^1 |\dot{x}_t| dt. \quad (3.2.41)$$

The Riemannian distance between  $x_0, x_1 \in M$  is the infimum of  $L(x_t)$  over all smooth paths connecting them, denoted by  $d(x, y)$ . Let  $\nabla$  be the Levi-Civita connection. A smooth path is a geodesic if for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\nabla_{\dot{x}_t} \dot{x}_t = 0. \quad (3.2.42)$$

Given  $\mathbf{x} = \dot{x}_0 \in T_{x_0}M$  small enough, the solution of (3.2.42) is unique. We write  $x_1 = \exp_{x_0} \mathbf{x}$ . Since the derivative  $\exp_{x_0, *}$  is an isomorphism, by the inverse function theorem,  $\exp_{x_0}$  defines a diffeomorphism from a small ball around zero to a neighborhood of  $x_0$  in  $M$ . Let  $\text{inj}_{x_0}$  be the radius of the largest ball such that  $\exp_{x_0}$  is a diffeomorphism. Let  $\text{inj} = \inf_{x \in M} \text{inj}_x$ . Since  $M$  is compact,  $\text{inj} > 0$ . Choose an orthonormal frame of  $T_{x_0}M$ , on which the coordinate functions are  $\mathbf{x}_i$  and the partial derivatives are  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i}$ . On  $B(0, \varepsilon) \subset T_{x_0}M$ , we define the metric by  $\exp_{x_0}^*(g)$ . If we consider  $B(0, \varepsilon)$  as a chart of  $M$  at  $x_0$ , we have  $\frac{\partial}{\partial \mathbf{x}_i} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}$ . Usually, we simply denoted it by  $\partial_i$ . With respect to this coordinates, we have

$$(\partial_i, \partial_j) = g_{ij}. \quad (3.2.43)$$

Let

$$\mathcal{R} = \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i \partial_i \in T_{\mathbf{x}}(T_{x_0}M). \quad (3.2.44)$$

Then  $\exp_{x_0, *} \mathcal{R} \in T_{\exp_{x_0} \mathbf{x}}M$ , which we also denote by  $\mathcal{R}$ .

In order to distinguish the points on  $T_{x_0}M$  and those on  $M$ , we write  $x = \exp_{x_0} \mathbf{x}$ . Let  $x_t$  be the geodesic connecting  $x_0$  and  $x$ , and let  $Y(t) \in T_{x_t}M$  be a vector field along  $x_t$ . if for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\nabla_{\dot{x}_t} Y(t) = 0, \quad (3.2.45)$$

we say  $Y(1)$  is the **parallel transport of  $Y(0)$  along  $x_t$** . Since  $\mathbf{x} \in B(0, \text{inj})$ , the solution of (3.2.45) is unique associated with initial condition. So  $Y(1)$  is uniquely determined by  $Y(0)$ . We write

$$Y(1) = \tau(x, x_0)Y(0). \quad (3.2.46)$$

Let

$$e_i(x) := \tau(x, x_0)\partial_i. \quad (3.2.47)$$

**Lemma 3.2.7.** (1)  $\{e_i(x)\}$  is an orthonormal frame of  $T_xM$ .

(2)  $e_i(x) = \partial_i + O(|\mathbf{x}|)$ .

(3)  $\nabla_{\mathcal{R}} e_i = 0$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $Y_0(t), Y_1(t) \in T_{x_t}M$  be vector fields along  $x_t$  satisfying (3.2.45). Since  $\nabla^{TM}$  preserves the metric,

$$\dot{x}_t(Y_0(t), Y_1(t)) = (\nabla_{\dot{x}_t} Y_0(t), Y_1(t)) + (Y_0(t), \nabla_{\dot{x}_t} Y_1(t)) = 0. \quad (3.2.48)$$

So  $(Y_0(t), Y_1(t))$  is a constant along  $x_t$ . Let  $Y_0(0) = \partial_i$  and  $Y_1(0) = \partial_j$ , we get (1).

(2) Let  $e_i(x) = f_{ij}(x)\partial_j$ . Note that  $f_{ij}(0) = \delta_{ij}$ . So  $f_{ij}(x) = \delta_{ij} + O(|\mathbf{x}|)$ . We get (2).

(3) Let  $x_t = \exp_{x_0} t\mathbf{x}$ . Then  $\mathcal{R} = |\mathcal{R}|\dot{x}_t$ . Since  $\nabla_{\dot{x}_1} e_i = 0$ , we get (3).

The proof of Lemma 3.2.7 is completed.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.2.8.** (1)  $\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{R} = 0$ .

(2)  $\mathcal{R} = \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i e_i$ , and thus  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}) = |\mathbf{x}|^2$ .

(3)  $(\mathcal{R}, \partial_i) = \mathbf{x}_i$ , and thus  $\mathbf{x}_i = g_{ij}\mathbf{x}_j$ .

(4)  $d(x_0, x) = |\mathbf{x}|$ . (Note that  $|\mathbf{x}|$  only depends on  $g(x_0)$  but  $d(x_0, x)$  depends on  $g(x_t)$  for  $t \in [0, 1]$ .)

*Proof.* (1) The curve  $\mathbf{x}_t = t\mathbf{x}$  is a geodesic. Note that  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_t) = t\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t$ . We also simply denote by  $\nabla = \exp_{x_0}^*(\nabla)$ . So by (3.2.42),

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{R} = t\nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t}(t\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t) = t\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t = \mathcal{R}. \quad (3.2.49)$$

For the second equality, we consider function  $f(x_t) = t$  and then  $\nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t} t = \nabla_{\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t} f = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} f(x_t) = 1$ .

(2) From Lemma 3.2.7 (3) and (1),

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}, e_i) = (\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}\mathcal{R}, e_i) + (\mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}e_i) = (\mathcal{R}, e_i). \quad (3.2.50)$$

From Lemma 3.2.7 (2),

$$(\mathcal{R}, e_i) = \sum_j \mathbf{x}_j (\partial_j, e_i) = \mathbf{x}_i + O(|\mathbf{x}|^2). \quad (3.2.51)$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_{i_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_k}) = k\mathbf{x}_{i_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_k}$ , from (3.2.50), there is no  $O(|\mathbf{x}|^2)$  term in (3.2.51). So  $(\mathcal{R}, e_i) = \mathbf{x}_i$ . Since  $\{e_i(x)\}$  is an orthonormal frame by Lemma 3.2.7 (1),  $\mathcal{R} = \sum_i \mathbf{x}_i e_i$  and  $(\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}) = |\mathbf{x}|^2$ .

(3) Note that

$$[\mathcal{R}, \partial_i] = -\partial_i(\mathbf{x}_j)\partial_j = -\partial_i. \quad (3.2.52)$$

Since  $\nabla$  is torsion free,

$$(\mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}\partial_i) = (\mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\partial_i}\mathcal{R}) + (\mathcal{R}, [\mathcal{R}, \partial_i]) = \frac{1}{2}\partial_i|\mathcal{R}|^2 - (\mathcal{R}, \partial_i). \quad (3.2.53)$$

So from (2) and (3.2.53),

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathcal{R}, \partial_i) = (\nabla_{\mathcal{R}} \mathcal{R}, \partial_i) + (\mathcal{R}, \nabla_{\mathcal{R}} \partial_i) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_i |\mathcal{R}|^2 = \mathbf{x}_i. \quad (3.2.54)$$

Since  $(\mathcal{R}, \partial_i) = \sum_j \mathbf{x}_j (\partial_i, \partial_j) = \mathbf{x}_i + O(|\mathbf{x}|^2)$ , by  $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{x}_{i_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_k}) = k \mathbf{x}_{i_1} \cdots \mathbf{x}_{i_k}$  again, from (3.2.54), we have  $(\mathcal{R}, \partial_i) = \mathbf{x}_i$ , and thus  $\mathbf{x}_i = g_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j$ .

(4) We use the fact that locally the geodesic is the shortest path. Let  $\mathbf{x}_t = t\mathbf{x}$ . From (2),

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_0, x) &= \int_0^1 |\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t| dt = \int_0^1 t^{-1} |R(\dot{\mathbf{x}}_t)| dt \\ &= \int_0^1 t^{-1} |\mathbf{x}_t| dt = \int_0^1 |\mathbf{x}| dt = |\mathbf{x}|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.55)$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2.8 is completed.  $\square$

Let

$$j(\mathbf{x}) = \det^{1/2}(g_{ij}(\mathbf{x})). \quad (3.2.56)$$

Then the pull back of the volume form on  $T_{x_0}M$

$$dx = j(\mathbf{x}) d\mathbf{x}. \quad (3.2.57)$$

In other words, we have

$$j(\mathbf{x}) = |\det(d_{\mathbf{x}} \exp_{x_0})|. \quad (3.2.58)$$

Take  $\varepsilon < \text{inj}$ . Let  $V_y = \text{Im}(\exp_y|_{B(0, \varepsilon)})$ . For  $x \in V_y$ , we define a neighborhood of the diagonal of  $M \times M$  by

$$U_\varepsilon = \{(x, y) \in M \times M : x \in V_y\}. \quad (3.2.59)$$

If  $(x, y) \in U_\varepsilon$ ,  $d(x, y) < \varepsilon$ .

As in (3.1.30), let

$$q_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4t}} \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+ \times U_\varepsilon), \quad (3.2.60)$$

which is modelled on the Euclidean heat kernel. To construct an approximate solution to the heat equation for  $H$ , we plan to find a formal solution to the heat equation as a series of the form

$$k_t(x, y) = q_t(x, y) \sum_{t=0}^{\infty} t^i \Psi_i(x, y, H), \quad (3.2.61)$$

where the coefficients  $(x, y) \mapsto \Psi_i(x, y, H)$  are smooth sections of the bundle  $E \boxtimes E^*$  over  $U_\varepsilon$ .

We would like to have  $(\partial_t + H)k_t(x, y) = 0$ .

**Proposition 3.2.9.** *For any time dependent section  $s_t$  of  $E$  over  $U_\varepsilon$ , we have*

$$(\partial_t + H)(q_t \cdot s_t) = q_t \cdot (\partial_t + H + t^{-1}\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E + (2t)^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\log j))s_t. \quad (3.2.62)$$

*Proof.* From (1.4.35),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^E(q_t s_t) &= -\nabla_{e_i}^E \nabla_{e_i}^E(q_t s_t) + \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i}^E(q_t s_t) \\ &= -\nabla_{e_i}^E(e_i(q_t) s_t - q_t \nabla_{e_i}^E s_t) + (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)(q_t) s_t + q_t \nabla_{\nabla_{e_i} e_i}^E s_t \\ &= (\Delta^E q_t) s_t - 2e_i(q_t) \nabla_{e_i}^E s_t + q_t \Delta^E s_t. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.63)$$

From (3.2.63),

$$(\partial_t + H)(q_t s_t) = ((\partial_t + H)q_t) s_t - 2(dq_t, \nabla^E s_t) + q_t((\partial_t + H)s_t). \quad (3.2.64)$$

Write  $x = \exp_y \mathbf{x}$ . Then from Lemma 3.2.8 (4),

$$q_t(x, y) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4t}}. \quad (3.2.65)$$

Then

$$\partial_t q_t = \left( -\frac{n}{2t} + \frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4t^2} \right) q_t. \quad (3.2.66)$$

From (3.2.65),

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta q_t &= -\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e_i \left( -\frac{1}{4t} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4t}} e_i(|\mathbf{x}|^2) \right) - \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} \frac{1}{4t} e^{-\frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4t}} (\nabla_{e_i} e_i)(|\mathbf{x}|^2) \\ &= -\frac{1}{4t} q_t \cdot \left( \Delta(|\mathbf{x}|^2) + \frac{1}{4t} (e_i(|\mathbf{x}|^2))^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.67)$$

We calculate  $\Delta(|\mathbf{x}|^2)$  first. Let  $g^{ij} = (g^{-1})_{ij}$ . Then  $g^{ij} g_{jk} = \delta_{ik}$ . From Lemma 3.2.8 (3),

$$g^{ij} \mathbf{x}_j = g^{ij} g_{jk} \mathbf{x}_k = \mathbf{x}_i. \quad (3.2.68)$$

For any  $\phi \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(T_y M)$ , from (1.4.37), (3.2.57) and (3.2.68),

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{T_y M} \phi \Delta(|\mathbf{x}|^2) dx &= \int_{T_y M} (d\phi, d(|\mathbf{x}|^2)) dx = 2 \int_{T_y M} (\partial_i \phi) g^{ij} \mathbf{x}_j j(\mathbf{x}) dx \\ &= 2 \int_{T_y M} (\partial_i \phi) \mathbf{x}_i j(\mathbf{x}) dx = -2 \int_{T_y M} \phi \partial_i (\mathbf{x}_i j(\mathbf{x})) dx \\ &= -2 \int_{T_y M} \phi (n + \mathcal{R}(\log j)) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.69)$$

So

$$\Delta(|\mathbf{x}|^2) = -2(n + \mathcal{R}(\log j)). \quad (3.2.70)$$

On the other hand, from (3.2.68),

$$(e_i(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2))^2 = g^{ij}\partial_i(\|\mathbf{x}\|^2)\partial_j(|\mathbf{x}|^2) = 4g^{ij}x_ix_j = 4x_jx_j = 4|\mathbf{x}|^2. \quad (3.2.71)$$

By (3.2.67), (3.2.70) and (3.2.71), we have

$$\Delta q_t = \left( \frac{1}{2t}(n + \mathcal{R}(\log j)) - \frac{|\mathbf{x}|^2}{4t^2} \right) q_t. \quad (3.2.72)$$

From (3.2.65),

$$\partial_i(q_t) = -\frac{\partial_i(|\mathbf{x}|^2)}{4t}q_t = -\frac{x_i}{2t}q_t. \quad (3.2.73)$$

So from (3.2.69),

$$(dq_t, \nabla^E s_t) = g^{ij}\partial_i(q_t)\nabla_{\partial_j}^E s_t = -\frac{1}{2t}q_t\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E s_t. \quad (3.2.74)$$

Therefore, (3.2.62) is obtained from (3.2.66), (3.2.72) and (3.2.74).

The proof of Proposition 3.2.9 is completed.  $\square$

Let

$$B = j^{1/2} \circ H \circ j^{-1/2}. \quad (3.2.75)$$

Let

$$\Phi_t = j^{1/2}s_t. \quad (3.2.76)$$

**Proposition 3.2.10.** *The following identity holds,*

$$(\partial_t + H + t^{-1}\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E + (2t)^{-1}\mathcal{R}(\log j))s_t = j^{-1/2} \cdot (\partial_t + B + t^{-1}\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E)\Phi_t. \quad (3.2.77)$$

*Proof.* From (3.2.75),

$$H \circ j^{-1/2} = j^{-1/2}B. \quad (3.2.78)$$

From (3.2.76),

$$j^{-1/2}\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E\Phi_t = j^{-1/2}\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}j^{1/2}s_t = \frac{1}{2}j^{-1}\mathcal{R}(j)s_t + \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E s_t. \quad (3.2.79)$$

So (3.2.77) follows from (3.2.78) and (3.2.79).

The proof of Proposition 3.2.10 is completed.  $\square$

**Definition 3.2.11.** Let  $\Phi_t(x, y)$  be a formal power series in  $t$  whose coefficients are smooth sections of  $E \boxtimes E^*$  on  $U_\varepsilon$ . We say  $q_t(x, y)j^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x})\Phi_t(x, y)$  is a **formal solution** of the heat equation around  $y$  if  $x \mapsto \Phi_t(x, y)$ , considered as a section of the bundle  $E \boxtimes E^*$  over  $V_y$ , satisfies the equation

$$\left(\partial_t + B + t^{-1}\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E\right)\Phi_t(\cdot, y) = 0. \quad (3.2.80)$$

Let  $x_t = \exp_y t\mathbf{x}$  be the geodesic connecting  $y$  and  $x$ , and let  $Y(t) \in E_{x_t}$ . As in (3.2.45), if for any  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,

$$\nabla_{x_t}^E Y(t) = 0, \quad (3.2.81)$$

we say  $Y(1)$  is the **parallel transport of  $Y(0)$  along  $x_t$  with respect to  $\nabla^E$** . As before,  $Y(1)$  is uniquely determined by  $Y(0)$ . We write

$$Y(1) = \tau^E(x, y)Y(0). \quad (3.2.82)$$

In this case,  $\tau^E(x, y) : E_y \rightarrow E_x$  is a linear isomorphism.

**Theorem 3.2.12.** *There exists a unique formal solution  $k_t(x, y)$  of the heat equation*

$$(\partial_t + H_x)k_t(x, y) = 0 \quad (3.2.83)$$

of the form

$$k_t(x, y) = q_t(x, y)j^{-1/2}(\mathbf{x}) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \Phi_i(x, y), \quad (3.2.84)$$

such that  $\Phi_0(y, y) = \text{Id}_E$ . Furthermore, we have the following recursive formula for  $\Phi_i$ :

$$\tau^E(x, y)^{-1}\Phi_i(x, y) = - \int_0^1 s^{i-1} \tau^E(x_s, y)^{-1} (B_x \cdot \Phi_{i-1})(x_s, y) ds. \quad (3.2.85)$$

In particular,  $\Phi_0(x, y) = \tau^E(x, y)$ .

*Proof.* From Definition 3.2.11,  $k_t$  in (3.2.84) is a formal solution if and only if

$$\left(\partial_t + B + t^{-1}\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} t^i \Phi_i(x, y) = 0. \quad (3.2.86)$$

Note that the equation (3.2.86) is equivalent to the system of equations:

$$\begin{aligned}\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E \Phi_0 &= 0, \\ (\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E + i)\Phi_i &= -B_x \Phi_{i-1}, \quad i > 0.\end{aligned}\tag{3.2.87}$$

The parallel transport  $\tau^E(x, y)$  along  $x_s$  satisfies the equation  $\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E \tau^E = 0$  and  $\tau^E(y, y) = \text{Id}_E$ . So from the uniqueness of the differential equation with initial condition, we have  $\Phi_0(x, y) = \tau^E(x, y)$ .

Let  $\{Y_{y,j}\}_j$  be a basis of  $E_y$ . Since  $\tau^E(x_s, y) : E_y \rightarrow E_{x_s}$  is a linear isomorphism,  $\{Y_{x_s,j} = \tau^E(x_s, y)Y_{y,j} \in E_{x_s}\}$  is a basis of  $E_{x_s}$ . We could write

$$\Phi_i(x_s) = X_j(s)Y_{x_s,j}, \quad -B_x \Phi_{i-1}(x_s) = Z_j(s)Y_{x_s,j}.\tag{3.2.88}$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}(x_s) = s\dot{x}_s$  and  $\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E Y_{x_s,j} = 0$ , we have

$$\nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E \Phi_i(x_s) = \mathcal{R}(X_j(s))Y_{x_s,j} = sX_j'(s)Y_{x_s,j}.\tag{3.2.89}$$

So the second equation in (3.2.87) is equivalent to the differential equation

$$sX_j'(s) + iX_j(s) = Z_j(s).\tag{3.2.90}$$

The solution of  $X_j(s)$  in (3.2.90) is

$$X_j(s) = s^{-i} \int_0^s v^{i-1} Z_j(v) dv + C s^{-i}.\tag{3.2.91}$$

Since we want to get good behavior for  $s \rightarrow 0$ , we take  $C = 0$  in (3.2.91). Observe that  $\tau^E(x, y)^{-1} \Phi_i(x, y) = X_j(1)Y_{y,j}$  and  $\tau^E(x_s, y)^{-1}(B_x \cdot \Phi_{i-1})(x_s, y) = Z_j(s)Y_{y,j}$ . We obtain (3.2.85).

The proof of Theorem 3.2.12 is completed.  $\square$

Let  $\psi : \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be a smooth cut-off function such that

$$\psi(s) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } s < \varepsilon^2/4, \\ 0, & \text{if } s > \varepsilon^2. \end{cases}\tag{3.2.92}$$

We write  $j(x, y) = j(\mathbf{x})$ . Then we construct  $k_t^N(x, y)$  in Theorem 3.2.3 by

$$k_t^N(x, y) = \psi(d(x, y)^2) q_t(x, y) j^{-1/2}(x, y) \sum_{i=1}^N t^i \Phi_i(x, y).\tag{3.2.93}$$

The following theorem is stronger than Theorem 3.2.3.

**Theorem 3.2.13.** *Let  $\ell$  be an even positive integer.*

(1) *For any  $T > 0$ , the kernels  $k_t^N$ ,  $0 < t < T$  define a uniformly bounded family of operators  $K_t^N$  on  $\mathcal{C}^\ell(M, E)$ , and*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \|K_t^N s - s\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} = 0. \quad (3.2.94)$$

(2) *There exist differential operators  $D_k$  of order less than or equal to  $2k$  such that  $D_0$  is the identity and such that for any  $s \in \mathcal{C}^{\ell+1}(M, E)$ ,*

$$\left\| K_t^N s - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell/2-j} t^k D_k s \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2j}} = O(t^{(\ell+1)/2-j}). \quad (3.2.95)$$

(3) *The kernel  $r_t^N(x, y) = (\partial_t + H_x)k_t^N(x, y)$  satisfies the estimates*

$$\|\partial_t^k r_t^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} < C t^{(N-n/2)-k-\ell/2}, \quad (3.2.96)$$

where the constant  $C > 0$  only depends on  $\ell$  and  $k$ .

*Proof.* We write  $y = \exp_x \mathbf{y}$ , with  $\mathbf{y} \in T_x M$ . Let

$$\Psi_i(x, \mathbf{y}) := \psi(\|\mathbf{y}\|^2) j^{1/2}(\mathbf{y}) \Phi_i(x, \exp_x \mathbf{y}) \tau^E(x, y)^{-1} \in \text{End}(E_x). \quad (3.2.97)$$

Let  $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E)$ . For  $y \in B(x, \varepsilon)$ , we write  $s(x, \mathbf{y}) = \tau^E(x, y)s(y) \in E_x$ . Then from (3.2.57), for  $\mathbf{y} = \sqrt{t}v$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (K_t^N s)(x) &= (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_M e^{-d(x,y)^2/4t} \sum_{i=1}^N t^i \psi(d(x, y)^2) j^{-1/2}(x, y) \Phi_i(x, y) s(y) dy \\ &= (4\pi t)^{-n/2} \int_{T_x M} e^{-|\mathbf{y}|^2/4t} \sum_{i=1}^N t^i \Psi_i(x, \mathbf{y}) s(x, \mathbf{y}) d\mathbf{y} \\ &= (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{T_x M} e^{-|v|^2/4} \sum_{i=1}^N t^i \Psi_i(x, t^{1/2}v) s(x, t^{1/2}v) dv. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.98)$$

From (3.2.97), we see that  $\Psi_0(x, 0) = \text{Id}_{E_x}$ .

Let  $\{Y_j\}$  be a basis of  $E_y$  and  $s(y) = s_j Y_j$ . Then  $s(x, \mathbf{y}) = s_j \tau^E(x, y) Y_j$ . Let  $C = \max_{y \in B(x, \varepsilon)} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq \ell} |D_x^\alpha(\tau^E(x, y))|$ . Then  $\|s(x, \mathbf{y})\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \leq C |s(y)| \leq C \|s\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} \leq C \|s\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell}$ . So from (3.2.98), there exists  $C' > 0$  such that for  $0 \leq t \leq T$ ,

$$\|K_t^N s\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \leq C' \|\Psi\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N T^i \right) \left( \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-|v|^2/4} dv \right) \cdot \|s\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell}. \quad (3.2.99)$$

So  $K_t$  is uniformly bounded on  $\mathcal{C}^\ell(M, E)$ . In this case, for  $|\alpha| \leq \ell$ ,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} D^\alpha (K_t^N s - s) = D^\alpha \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} (K_t^N s - s). \quad (3.2.100)$$

From (3.2.98),

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} K_t^N s = (4\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{T_x M} e^{-|v|^2/4} \Psi_0(x, 0) s(x, 0) dv = s(x). \quad (3.2.101)$$

Therefore, we get (3.2.94).

For (2), set  $\sigma = t^{1/2}$  and

$$f(\sigma, v) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^{2i} \Psi_i(x, \sigma v) s(x, \sigma v). \quad (3.2.102)$$

Taylor expansion at  $\sigma = 0$ , from (1.3.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(\sigma, v) &= \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^{2i} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \Psi_i^{(\beta)}(x, 0) s^{(\gamma)}(x, 0) (\sigma v)^\alpha \\ &\quad + \sum_{|\mu| = l+1} \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \mu} \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma^{2i} \frac{l+1}{\mu!} (\sigma v)^\mu \cdot \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \\ &\quad \cdot \int_0^1 (1-s)^l \Psi_i^{(\beta)}(x, sv) s^{(\gamma)}(x, sv) ds. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2.103)$$

If  $|\alpha| \leq \ell$  is odd,

$$\int_{T_x M} e^{-|v|^2/4} v^\alpha dv = 0. \quad (3.2.104)$$

So we only need to consider the even case. In this case, let

$$D_k := (4\pi)^{-n/2} \sum_{2i+|\alpha|=2k} \sum_{|\alpha| \leq l} \sum_{\beta + \gamma = \alpha} \frac{\alpha!}{\beta! \gamma!} \Psi_i^{(\beta)}(x, 0) \int_{T_x M} e^{-|v|^2/4} v^\alpha dv. \quad (3.2.105)$$

In particular,  $D_0 = \text{Id}$ . Since  $s^{(\gamma)}(x, 0) = D_x^\gamma s(x)$ , from (3.2.98), (3.2.103) and (3.2.105), we have

$$\left\| K_t^N s - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell/2} t^k D_k s \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} = O(t^{(\ell+1)/2}). \quad (3.2.106)$$

In the same way, we have

$$\left\| K_t^N s - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell/2-j} t^k D_k s \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^{2j}} = O(t^{(\ell+1)/2-j}). \quad (3.2.107)$$

Note that in this case, in the remainder term of (3.2.6), we need to estimate  $\|s\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell}$ . So our estimate is for  $O(t^{(\ell+1)/2-j})$ .

From Propositions 3.2.9 and 3.2.10,

$$r_t^N(x, y) = q_t(x, y) j^{-1/2}(x, y) \left( \partial_t + B_x + t^{-1} \nabla_{\mathcal{R}}^E \right) \left( \psi(d(x, y)^2) \sum_{i=1}^N t^i \Phi_i(x, y) \right). \quad (3.2.108)$$

If  $d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon/2$ ,  $\partial_x^\alpha \psi(d(x, y)^2) \equiv 0$ . If  $d(x, y) > \varepsilon/2$ , for any  $k$ , we have

$$\partial_x^\alpha \psi(d(x, y)^2) e^{-d(x, y)^2/4t} < \partial_x^\alpha \psi(d(x, y)^2) e^{-\varepsilon^2/16t} = O(t^k). \quad (3.2.109)$$

From (3.2.86), the terms on the right hand side of (3.2.108), which do not involve a derivative of  $\psi(d(x, y)^2)$  cancel, except for one remaining term  $t^N q_t(x, y) (B_x \Phi_N)(x, y)$ , which may be bounded by  $t^{N-n/2}$ . So we have

$$\|r_t^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^0} < C t^{(N-n/2)}. \quad (3.2.110)$$

The estimate of  $\|\partial_t^k r_t^N\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell}$  is similar, once we observe that

$$\partial_t e^{-x^2/t} = t^{-1} (x^2/t) e^{-x^2/t} = O(t^{-1}), \quad (3.2.111)$$

and

$$\partial_x e^{-x^2/t} = t^{-1/2} (-2x/t^{1/2}) e^{-x^2/t} = O(t^{-1}). \quad (3.2.112)$$

The proof of Theorem 3.2.13 is completed.  $\square$

Now we summarize the properties of heat kernels.

**Theorem 3.2.14.** *Let  $p_t(x, y)$  be the heat kernel of  $H$ . Then there exist  $\Phi_i \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M \times M, E \boxtimes E^*)$  such that for every  $N > n/2$ , the kernel  $k_t^N(x, y)$  defined by*

$$\frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{d(x, y)^2}{4t}} \psi(d(x, y)^2) j^{-1/2}(x, y) \sum_{i=1}^N t^i \Phi_i(x, y) \quad (3.2.113)$$

is asymptotic to  $p_t(x, y)$ :

$$\|\partial_t^k (p_t(x, y) - k_t^N(x, y))\|_{\mathcal{C}^\ell} = O(t^{N-n/2-\ell/2-k}). \quad (3.2.114)$$

The leading term  $\Phi_0(x, y) = \tau^E(x, y)$ .

The following corollary is the generalization of Proposition 3.1.6.

**Corollary 3.2.15.** *Let  $P_t$  be the heat operator associated with  $p_t(x, y)$ . Then for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $s \in \mathcal{C}^\infty(M, E)$ ,*

$$\left\| P_t s - \sum_{i=0}^k \frac{(-tH)^i}{i!} s \right\|_{\mathcal{C}^j} = O(t^{k+1}). \quad (3.2.115)$$

*Proof.* The heat equation  $(\partial_t + H)P_t s = 0$  implies that in (3.2.95),  $D_k = (-H)/k!$ .

The proof of Corollary 3.2.15 is completed.  $\square$